Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/591,138	KELLY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John Ricci	3711	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) John Ricci.	(3)		
(2) Steven Nielsen.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 15 July 2009.			
Type: a)☑ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☐ applicant's representative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description:			
Claim(s) discussed:			
Identification of prior art discussed:			
Agreement with respect to the claims $f \bigcirc w$ as reached. $g) \bigcirc w$ as not reached. $h) \bigcirc N/A$.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Upon further consideration</u> , the drawings of 8/28/06 appear to be acceptable for publication.			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. SEE MIPE SELD TISAL, HI IS REPLY THE BUB STANCE OF THE INTERVIEW OF SEM IPED SELD TISAL, HI IS REPLY THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THRITY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MUSIN GATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MUSIN GATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SHAMPAY FORM. WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on everse side or on attached sheet.			
/John Riod/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3711			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)